



Cancer and Structure

Bohdan Hejna

University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague
Department of Mathematics, Studentská 6, 166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic

Abstract In this paper we are thinking, in a *free way*, about possible applications of the Information-Thermodynamics point of view in *biology*¹.

We can consider a principle loss of information (structure) within the process of generation of cells by their duplication (dividing). Within any duplication of a cell (*the predecessor*) its *follower* is generated and the distortion of the *duplicated* (copied) structure (information) of the parent cell in the follower arises. This loss is measurable by the quantity of (average) information amount. It is a loss of part of message being copied (transferred), it is a loss of information within this process of such an information transfer. (The whole *structure of the cell is a message*, also including 'a program' for its functionality in a texture.) This mechanism of aging by a 'tooth of time' can be described in a functional way by the '*carnotized*' model of information transfer - *direct Carnot Cycle viewed informationally*. The growth of thermodynamic entropy in its environment is similar to the mentioned loss of the cell structure, measured, in biology, by the *shortening* the length of the cell *telomere*. The telomere is, approximately, a box of chromosomes and its length codes the age of the cell, or, the number of the cell's predecessors in the normal situation.

Within the pathological proliferation of cells the opposite situation arises. In this case the cells with a *precise structure* (but, of an *another type* in comparison with the normal structure of its own original and 'normal' type) are generated. But, this grow of structure in a certain locality in the whole organism is paid by a pumping off energy from an environment of this locality. This situation is describable again by our Information-Thermodynamic model, but by a *reverse* this time. The decreasing value of thermodynamic entropy or increasing value of information entropy is now evidenced locally with the higher growth of the thermodynamic entropy in the environment. This process is similar to the growth of the cell structure in the pathological case which growth is now measured by the *lengthening* of the cell telomeres.

Keywords: Carnot Cycle, Heat entropy, Transfer channel, Information entropy, Transinformation, Telomere

1. Introduction

1.1. Basics of Information Thermodynamics

In the following text the symbols O and O' denote the Carnot Cycle, *reversible* and *irreversible* respectively, in the *medium* L . The symbols A and B denote *heater* with the temperature T_w and the *cooler* with the temperature T_0 respectively. The symbols ΔQ_w and ΔQ_0 denote the relevant heats and ΔQ_{0x} denotes the *noise* heat generated in the irreversible cycle O' .






Figure 1.1: Carnot Cycle

We term the following ratios [expressed in *information units* (*Hartley, nat, bit*)] the *changes of the (thermodynamic) entropies of the system L in cycles O or O'* :

$$\frac{\Delta Q_w}{kT_w} \text{ input, } \frac{\Delta A^{[1]}}{kT_w} \text{ output } (= \Delta I^{[1]}), \Delta Q_0 kT_w \text{ loss, } \Delta Q_{0x} kT_w \text{ noise,} \quad (1)$$

here *k* is Boltzman's constant. These changes are the absolute values of *information entropies* $H(\cdot), H(\cdot|\cdot)$ contained in any message on inputs and outputs of a 'carnot' (thermodynamically) described transfer channel *K*. For the simplicity further the **reversible case O is used only**.

Reversible Carnot Cycle and Channel




Figure 1.2: The schema of a reversible Carnot Cycle

A reversible Carnot Cycle *O* running in *L* (producing noise heat $\Delta Q_{0x} = 0$) can be considered to be a *thermodynamic, average-value realization* or, as such, as a model of an information transfer process running in a channel *K* without noise.



On the channel K the information entropies $H(X)$, $H(Y)$, $H(Y|X)$ are defined,

$$H(X) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \frac{\Delta Q_W}{kT_W} \left[= \frac{\Delta Q_0}{kT_0} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$H(Y) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \frac{\Delta A}{kT_W} = \frac{\Delta Q_W - \Delta Q_0}{kT_W} = \frac{\Delta Q_W}{kT_W} \cdot \eta_{max} = H(X) \cdot \eta_{max} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \Delta I$$

$$H(Y|X) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} 0$$




Figure 1.3: The information transfer channel modelling a reversible Carnot Cycle

Thus we assume that the medium L going through a reversible Carnot Cycle O works as a thermodynamic, average-value model of an information channel $K (K : L)$ and that the *channel equation*

$$H(X) - H(X|Y) = [T(X;Y) = T(Y;X)] = H(Y) - H(Y|X) \quad (3)$$

is valid for the values of the quantities in (2). Following from $\Delta Q_W T_W = \Delta Q_0 T_0$ and the definition (2) and the assumption (3) we have

$$\Delta Q_W kT_W \cdot \eta_{max} - 0 = \Delta Q_W kT_W - H(X|Y) \quad (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$H(X|Y) = \Delta Q_W kT_W \cdot (1 - \eta_{max}) = \Delta Q_W kT_W \cdot \beta = \frac{\Delta Q_0}{kT_W}, \quad \beta = \frac{T_0}{T_W}$$

Thus for the transinformation $T(X;Y)$ and $T(Y;X)$ defined in (3), and, using the definitions (2), we have

$$T(X;Y) = H(X) \cdot (1 - \beta) = H(X) \cdot \eta_{max} \quad (5)$$

$$T(Y;X) = \frac{\Delta Q_W}{kT_W} \cdot \eta_{max} = \frac{\Delta A}{kT_W} \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$T(X;Y) = \Delta I = H(Y) = T(Y;X)$$

As a consequence we have^{1,2}

¹ The sharp inequality represents **Thomson-Planck's** information formulation of the II. Principle of Thermodynamics. The equality in the relation (6) is valid for all reversible Carnot Cycles (with temperatures T_W and T_0) viewed informationally, and can be considered to be an



$$H(Y) = \Delta I = T(X;Y) < H(X) \quad (6)$$

When the medium L has gone through the cycle O once [in agreement with $\oint_O \delta Q(T)T = 0$], we have the following for the change ΔS_L of the heat entropy S_C of the whole reversible Carnot engine:

$$\Delta S_L = \oint_O \frac{\delta Q}{T} = \frac{\Delta Q_W}{T_W} - \frac{\Delta Q_0}{T_0} = 0 \quad (7)$$

As a consequence of the additivity of (substitute reversible [8]) changes of heat entropy, when the medium L has gone through the reversible cycle O once, we have the following for the change ΔS_{AB} of the heat entropy S_C in the system (AB) (the heater $A, T_W > 0$ and the cooler $B, T_0 > 0, T_W \geq T_0$):

$$\Delta S_{AB} = -\frac{\Delta Q_0}{T_W} + \frac{\Delta Q_0}{T_0} = \frac{\Delta Q_0}{T_0} \cdot \eta_{max} = \frac{\Delta Q_W}{T_W} \cdot \eta_{max} = k \cdot T(X;Y) \quad (8)$$

Also we have the following for the *result* change ΔS_C of the heat entropy S_C of the whole reversible Carnot engine:

$$\Delta S_C = \Delta S_L + \Delta S_{AB} = \frac{\Delta Q_W}{T_W} \cdot \eta_{max} > 0 \quad (9)$$

The derivation (5) and the equation (9) together then imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_C - k \cdot T(X;Y) &= kH(X) \cdot (\eta_{max} - \eta_{max}) \\ \Delta S_C - k\Delta I &= 0 \text{ or also } \Delta(S_C - kI) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

On receiving the output information $\Delta I = H(Y)$ we see that:

- the **distinguishability** of the structure of the **mechanical output** of O , being measured by $\Delta I = H(Y)$, **increases**; it is realized by the addition ΔA to the potential output energy of O ,
- the **thermodynamic distinguishability** of the system (AB) , a *thermodynamic structure* of which we express by mutually different heats, **decreases** just by the value $\Delta S_C = \Delta S_{AB}$ (9); $\Delta S_C = k\Delta I > 0$.

- The increasing ΔI of the *structural* distinguishability of the mechanical output of the cycle O models the receiver's average information increase by the addition ΔI contained in any message $y: \Delta A, y \in Y$, on the output of $K(:L)$. Now it is a message of the structure measure of the new gained and less structured resulting cell.

- In other words, the value ΔS_C thus represents the **increase** in the whole *extensity* of the energy (the extensity is now the thermodynamic entropy) used for the coding the input message, and thus represents the **increase** of the **indistinguishability** of this energy within the whole isolated transfer chain (X, K, Y) - the whole Carnot engine - complete system - the cell. Thus, it represents the relevant **decrease of the structure** of the whole body and, especially, of the cell.

information formulation of the **first part** of **Carnot's** theorem which states:
The efficiencies of all reversible Carnot Cycles with the working temperatures T_W and T_0 are equal.

² The loss $H(X | Y) \neq 0$ is inseparable from our type of transfer of $x \in X, x: \Delta Q_W$; let it be considered as a model for biological aging, see further.



Reverse Reversible Carnot Cycle and Channel

Reverse reversible Carnot Cycle works as a *heat pump*. In this cycle, comprehended as a thermodynamic, average-value realization, or model of the transfer process in a channel $K \cong L$ which is transferring an (arbitrary) input message $x \in X$ containing the average information amount $H(X)$, we use these symbols and denotations:

ΔQ_0 the heat drained off from the cooler B within the isothermal expansion at T_0 ,

ΔA the mechanical work delivered to the cycle by the compression phase at T_w ,

ΔQ_w the output heat delivered to the heater A by the isothermal phase at T_w .

Further, we define the values of changes of *information entropies* on the channel $K \cong L$ (with an information transfer process being realized by this cycle) by the changes of its *physical (now thermodynamic) entropies*, for instance, in this way:

$$H(X) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \Delta A k T_w, \text{ input entropy} \quad (11)$$

$$H(Y) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \Delta Q_w k T_w = \Delta Q_0 + \Delta A k T_w \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \Delta I, \text{ output entropy}$$

$$H(Y|X) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \Delta Q_0 k T_w > 0, \text{ noise entropy}$$

where $\Delta A \cong x \in X$ is the *input message*, $\Delta Q_w \cong y \in Y$ is the *output message* and ΔQ_0 is the *noise 'message'*.




Figure 1.4: The additive information transfer model for a reversible Carnot Cycle without losses

Now we consider a channel with the *additive noise*,

$$H(Y|X) = \Delta Q_0 k T_w \cdot T_0 T_0 = \Delta Q_0 k T_0 \cdot \beta = \Delta Q_w k T_w \cdot \beta = H(Y) \cdot \beta, \beta = T_0 T_w \quad (12)$$

is to be valid. The noise with information entropy $H(Y|X)$ is the integral part of the definition of the transfer information process. It is not generated by a positive production of the noise heat $\Delta Q_{0x} > 0$ in the working medium L .³

We are supposing further that for changes of information at the values values $H(X)$, $H(Y|X)$, $H(Y)$, $H(X|Y)$ defined by (11) the relations (3) are valid and, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A k T_w - H(X|Y) &= \Delta Q_0 + \Delta A k T_w - \Delta Q_0 k T_w \\ &\Rightarrow \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

³ From the relations for η and η_{\max} follows that $\Delta Q_0 = f(T_0)$, where function $f(\cdot)$ is a not-negative function of the argument T_0 , $f(T_0) \geq 0$, for which $\lim_{T_0 \rightarrow 0} f(T_0) = 0$ is valid.



$$H(X | Y) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} 0.$$

So, we have a channel *without losses*. For transinormations $T(X; Y)$, $T(Y; X)$, with respecting the definitinon (11) it is valid, within one run of the system $L \cong K$ through the reverse Carnot Cycle realizing a transfer process, that

$$T(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X | Y) = \Delta A k T_W - 0 = H(X) \quad (14)$$

$$T(Y; X) = H(Y) - H(Y | X) = \Delta Q_0 + \Delta A k T_W - \Delta Q_0 k T_W = \Delta A k T_W = H(X).$$

Consequently

$$H(X) = H(Y) \cdot \eta_{\max} \quad (15)$$

where η_{\max} is the efficiency of the relevant direct cycle. So it is valid, in accordance with relations (12) and (3), that

$$H(X) = T(X; Y) = H(Y) \cdot \eta_{\max} \quad (16)$$

Now, let us notice the changes of thermodynamic entropy in an isolated system in which the described process is running:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_{AB} &= -\Delta Q_0 T_0 + \Delta Q_0 T_W = -\Delta Q_0 T_0 T_W \cdot (T_W - T_0) = \\ &= -\Delta Q_0 T_0 \cdot \eta_{\max} = -H(Y) \cdot \eta_{\max} < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The thermodynamic (Clausius) entropy S_{AB} of the system (AB) is then lowering its value - the (thermodynamic, heat) **distinguishability of the systems A and B is growing up**. Of course, it is **at a detriment of the mechanical work ΔA delivered**, or, respectively, at a detriment of the entropy at the value $\Delta A T_W$.

But, there is a need to gain this energy (entropy) and it is possible within such an isolated system by a not natural process of transformation heat to mechanical energy. But, this process is 'running' at a background of a natural process of the heat transfer in accordance with the II. Principle of Thermodynamics.

1.1.1. Two connected Carnot Cycles

We are considering such a reversible process which is giving the mechanical work at a value $\Delta A^* \geq \Delta A$; we write it with regard to various directions of functioning of both these cycles ($T_W^* \geq T_W$ and $T_0^* \leq T_0$);

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A^* k T_W^* &= H(X^*) \cdot \eta_{\max}^* = H(X^*) \cdot T_W^* - T_0^* T_W^* \\ &= 1 k \Delta S_{A^* B^*}, T_W^* \geq T_0^* > 0, \\ \Delta A k T_W &= H(Y) \cdot \eta_{\max} = H(Y) \cdot T_W - T_0 T_W \\ &= -1 k \Delta S_{A B}, T_W \geq T_0 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

For the whole change ΔS of the entropy S of the whole isolated system in which both these processes are running, following the II. Principle of Thermodynamics, is

$$\Delta S = \Delta S_{A^* B^*} + \Delta S_{A B} \geq 0 \quad (19)$$

But, for $\Delta S_{A B} \leq 0$, it must be valid that

$$\Delta S_{A^* B^*} \geq |\Delta S_{A B}| \quad (20)$$



This means that for the decrease of entropy about the value $|\Delta S_{A B}|$, the greater addition of $\Delta S_{A^* B^*}$ is to be generated, and, then, the whole entropy is growing up just about the value ΔS ,

$$\Delta S = \Delta S_{A^* B^*} - |\Delta S_{A B}| \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

The equality occurs when $\eta_{\max}^* = \eta_{\max}$. In another case $\eta_{\max}^* > \eta_{\max}$ which, e.g. for $T_0^* = T_0$, means that

$$\Delta Q_W^* > \Delta Q_W \quad \text{and} \quad T_W^* > T_W \quad (22)$$

The environment ($A^ B^*$) of the entropy decrease area [which is the system ($A B$)] is being 'pumped off', as for its structure conserving energy, in a greater measure (or at least at the same one) - its undistinguishability, disorder (chaos) is growing up more (or at the same measure) than this decrease, than the local growing up of the order or organization in ($A B$) is.*

2. Biology Analogy for Cell Generation

We can envisage and suppose further, that the heat engines are, in a certain way, the real physical background (let us say a motor) for the cells' duplications. Or, that the system (AB) models the cell and the temperatures T_W^* , T_W and T_0 have, in this case, the biological meaning of the cells and the cells' environment properties affecting their generation or, in the pathological case, also the stability of their structure (and their metabolism).

2.1. Analogy for Normal Cell Proliferation

The result of the *normal* generation of cells is that: the continuously decreasing precise of the structure of the followers is generated in a sequence of copying, duplication the cells, and, the whole biological organism, the collection of textures of cells, is aging by 'the tooth of time' - by the loss of their *structure*, the precision of the construction of the follower cells (for this, by the loss of both their inner and outer bounds or communication ability). At the end, following a number of duplications, the incompatibility of the resulting structure of the last cell (the incompatibility of the information amount being represented by this cell) with such a certain minimal structure (information), which maintains its ability of both inner and outer communication, arises, that it is not recognizable as "a certain cell" of the certain cell type - so as being alive.

This mechanism can be described in a functional way by our *direct* 'carnotized' model of information transfer. Within any run of our model Carnot Cycle (modeling the cell duplication) the less output (average) information is gained in comparison with the input (average) information (2),

$$H(Y) = \Delta I < H(X) \quad (23)$$

If this resulting information is used again (in our model) in the heat form with appropriate temperature

$$T_{W,i+1} < T_{W,i}, T_{0,i+1} = T_{0,i} = T_0, i \geq 1; T_{W,i}^{\Delta} = T_W \quad (24)$$

the less information is generated etc. But, contemporarily, any run of the cycle generates the positive addition of entropy (thermodynamic) of a wider isolated system in which this transformation (information transfer) runs (10),

$$\Delta S_C > 0 \quad (25)$$

In this model it is the less and less distinguishability within the system (AB) [of parts A and B] of the whole model heat engine [model for the body], as for their heat contents $[\Delta S_{AB} = \Delta S_C]$ - let it be, together with (23), our thermodynamic model of that normal aging of body by 'a tooth of time'; the system (AB) is the model for the normal cell. So, the sought 'gen of aging' could be nothing else than a datum about the precision of that duplication; in our model it is the efficiency of the transformation of the input energy,



$$\eta_{max} < 1 \quad (26)$$

So it is clear that the loss of information transferred this way is a suitable functional model for the case of the duplication of cells.

As for cells, let us say that this principle reveals, signalizes itself by the phenomenon of the *shortening of telomeres* [10],

$$l \cong f(S^+ - \Delta S_{AB}) < f(S^+), \underline{\Delta S_{AB} > 0} \quad (27)$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is a growing function and S^+ is a starting value for the given generation run.

2.2. Analogy for Pathologic Proliferation

Within the *pathological* generation, proliferation of cells the opposite situation than it is in a normal case arises. In the pathological case we have the cells [modeled by the subsystem (AB) within those two cycles] with a more precise structure (but, of an another type in comparison with the normal structure of its own original and 'normal' type). But, this grow of structure in a certain locality in the whole organism is paid by a pumping off energy from an environment of this locality (from the rest sound parts of the organism-body, from the environment of the 'youngering' locality).

This environment is then in a lack of energy for its normal functionality; this energy is consumed in the favour of this local grow of structure signalized by that phenomenon that the followers of the predecessors have the *telomeres lengthened*, see [10],

$$l \cong f(S^+ - \Delta S_{AB}) > f(S^+), \underline{\Delta S_{AB} < 0} \quad (28)$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is a growing function and S^+ is a starting value for the given generation run.

This situation is describable again by our Information-Thermodynamic model, but by a *reverse* this time. In this model a **local decreasing of entropy is evidenced** (17),

$$\underline{\Delta S_{AB} = -kH(Y) \cdot \eta_{max} < 0, H(Y) > 0} \quad (29)$$

as for the heat contents of the system (AB), and, then, the **grow of structure of such a locality** (within a wider isolated system) **appears**; the system (AB) is the model for such a locality, for the cancer cell.

However, for this decrease of entropy (for this grow of structure) the energy is needed at the value (18) but, delivered from the environment (20) of this locality [the model for the exhausting the rest sound part of the body],

$$\underline{\Delta A^* > 0, \Delta S_{A^*B^*} > 0} \quad (30)$$

This is the price for the greater and greater structure of this its (open) part, the problematic locality which leads to the grow of entropy of this environment and, consequently, to the grow of entropy of the whole isolated wider system, see (19). In the case of cells (organism-body) we can see the wasting away of the whole organism. **The final equilibrium is approaching again and faster, just for the value $\Delta S_{A^*B^*}$ is valid that $\Delta S_{A^*B^*} > \Delta S_C > 0$**

where $\Delta S_C = \Delta S_{AB} > 0$ in the normal case. Proposingly it is, e.g., **by a certain growing and positive function $f(\cdot)$ of ΔS^\dagger [exponential power-polynomial function $f(\cdot)$ is awaitable],**

$$\Delta S^\dagger = \Delta S_{A^*B^*} = \Delta S + |\Delta S_{AB}| > 0, \Delta S_{AB} < 0 \quad (31)$$

[where the 'formal' or mathematical grow of entropy of the whole (wider) system-organism is $\underline{\Delta S}$ from (19), (21)] or, possibly more realistic is,

$$\Delta S^\dagger = \Delta S_{A^*B^*} + \Delta S_{AB} > 0, \Delta S_{AB} > 0 \quad (32)$$



where ΔS_{AB} is the entropy growth adequate to the cell of the sound texture.

Our reverse Information-Thermodynamic model, see (18)-(22), authorizes us to an awaiting of a stable (moderate) higher body temperature $T_{W_{body}}^*$ of a patient against the normal $T_{W_{normal}}^*$ or drifting the body temperature with a higher average value⁴ indicated by our higher model temperature T_W^* and, also, within the model, by a less model temperature T_W of the problematic texture, $T_W^* > T_W$.⁵

Considering the body's or the tumor's environment temperature $T_{W_{body}}^*$ modeled by our T_W^* and with regards to the possible relation between the model and *enzymatic* 'driven' processes, see the Remark 8, should be $T_{W_{body}}^* < T_W^*$.

And, also, within the model, where $T_W \cong T_{tumor}$,

$$T_W = T_{W,n} > T_{W,i+1} > T_{W,i} > \dots > T_{W,0} \cong T_{W_{body}}^* > T_{W_{normal}}^*, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \quad (33)$$

where the temperatures $T_{W,i}$, $T_{W,i+1}$... indicate the hot (warming) tumor place⁶ within the body in times i , with consideration of Remark 7 and with the same inequality signs, $T_{W,i} \cong T_{tumor,i}$.

4. Conclusion

For the pathology proliferating texture is consuming the energy from the rest of the organism it is logical, as for the therapy, to try to cut off this energy supply. Following the previous considerations, we can imagine the lowering of the tumor's consumption of energy from the organism, body as a whole e.g. by the body's cooling (therapy by intensive freeze, swimming in a cold bath; especially in a not-operable cases of such disease). This could be the way to limit,⁷ at least for a short time, the positive flow of energy and heat (the heat at the temperature T_W^* in our model) from the sound texture, otherwise supporting the cell generation and metabolic processes in such problematic locality. The lowering of the body or the tumor's environment temperature also means the weakening the body metabolism nutricing the tumor (the lowering the temperature gradient from the sound to the ill texture in our model).⁸ May be that the **antipyretical effects of the Salicylic Acid (Aspirin)** are the part of preventive effects having been evidenced.

⁴ Also with temperatures from more frequent viroses ?

⁵ **These temperatures are those of our thermodynamic model, they are physical analogue for the same efficiency as it is in the real biological process. The values of the body's temperatures will be different (for both the normal and the pathological case) due to the influence of enzymes' functionality.**

⁶ Also mixed with the temperature from its own metabolism?

⁷ Nowadays it seems to be proved that the cancer tumor is modifying its environment in such a way that this environment is pressed to deliver to it certain proteins for its growing up. The question is how to cut or to limit this nutricing connection. One of the method is cryotherapy used for the breast cancer: **within the environment of the tumor the freezing gas is injected with the result of the tumor's diminishing.** From the point of view of the energy consumption also the real surgery method of *devitalization* which is narrowing the connection between the pathology tumor and its environment [MUDr. Karel Fortýn, CSc., Ústav živočišné fyziologie a genetiky AV ČR v Libčechově, 1957, 1971-2001] seems to be a right way.

⁸ Even in the case of the cure where the 'impuls' of higher temperature to this locality is used (e.g. the socrum case), the inevitable successive process of natural cooling is imaginable, creating the appropriate negative temperature gradient from the tumor to its environment - **the plain physical result should be the same as it is for cooling its environment.**



We can imagine this situation: Supposingly, the occurrence of the pathological cell is indicated as the consequence of a virosis [whether or not such cell is a result of the (natural) degeneration or is (really) caused by a virus] - the result (as for the pathological change of the cell structure) is the same; **the organism reacts by its higher temperature**

$T_{W_{body}}^*$ **expressed by our model temperature T_w^*** . But, this defence of the body is not functioning at this case, but, on the contrary, it **creates just what the pathological cell requires - just the higher temperature of the body** - let us say that it is a thermodynamic explanation why the **immunology of the body fails**.

Our Information-Thermodynamic approach seems to be near to the reality. Our model equations (27), (28) and (31)-(33) should be general description for the cells' structure changes whether or not the cells are equipped with telomeres.

References

1. Brillouin L. (1963): *Science and Information Theory*. Academia Press, New York.
2. Hejna B. (2007): *Thermodynamic model of Noise Information Transfer*. COMPUTING ANTICIPATORY SYSTEMS: CASYS'07 - Eighth International Conference, edited by Daniel M. Dubois. American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, AIP Conference Proceedings, Volume 1051, 2008, 67-75. ISBN 978-0-7354-0579-0, ISSN 0094-243X.
3. Hejna B. (2009): *Gibbs Paradox as Property of Observation, Proof of II. Principle of Thermodynamics*. COMPUTING ANTICIPATORY SYSTEMS: CASYS'09 - Ninth International Conference, edited by Daniel M. Dubois. American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, AIP Conference Proceedings, Volume 1303, 131-140, ISSN 0094-243X, ISBN 978-0-7454-0858-6,
4. Hejna B. (2010): *Informační Termodynamika I., Rovnovážná termodynamika p řenosu informace*. ISBN 978-80-7080-747-7, VŠCHT Praha, Praha.
5. Hejna, B. Thermodynamics, Information and Telomera. *Proceedings of International Conference Automatics and Informatics'10, I-59 – I-64*; Atanasoff, J., Ed.; Society of Automatics and Informatics: Sofia, Bulgaria, 2010.
6. Hejna B. (2014): *Information Thermodynamics and Cell Telomere*. COMPUTING ANTICIPATORY SYSTEMS: CASYS'11 - Eleventh International Conference, edited by Daniel M. Dubois. International Journal of Computing Anticipatory Systems, Liege, Belgium, IJCAS Conference Proceedings, vol. 29, 213-224. ISSN 1373-5411, ISBN 2-930396-18-0,
7. Jaynes E. T. (1988): *Evolution of Carnot's Principle*. Ericksen, Smith, Vol 1, pp. 267-282.
8. Moore W. J. (1981): *Fyzikáln chemie*. SNTL, Praha.
9. Landauer M. (2000): *Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process*. IBM J. Res. Develop. Vol. 44 No. 1/2 January/March 2000.
10. Wen-Chi Hsueh, Telomere length is paternally inherited and is associated with parental lifespan *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2007, University of California, San Francisco.

